ARTICLE APPEARED ON PAGE______

WASHINGTON STAR (CREEN LINE) 31 JULY 1980

Billy Lied About Payment, Justice Dept. Official Says

Washington Star Stall Writer

The Justice Department's chief investigator of Billy Carter's activities as a foreign agent said yester--day-that the president's brother. "lied" to him twice about \$220,000 in payments from Libya

Joel S. Lisker, chief of the Justice Department's foreign agents registration unit, also said that the White House may have received information from one of the "three or four sources" which on May 30 first provided the Justice Department with solid evidence of Libyan payments to Billy Carter

Lisker said that additional evidence came sometime before June 11 the day Billy Carter, after being pressured by Lisker, disclosed that he had gotten payments from the Libyans.

The first "lie" by Billy Carter to Lisker occurred Jan. 16 when Billy Carter denied receiving any payments from Libya, Lisker said, even though on Dec. 31, 1979, he had deposited in an account of his at the Columbus (Ga.) Bank and Trust Co. a \$20,000 check drawn Dec. 27 on the Libyan account at Riggs National Bank here.

During that interview in Americus, Ga., Billy Carter told Lisker he had received several hundred dollars in expense money from the Libyans when he visited the country twice and gifts including a fancy saddle, gold bracelets and a scimi-可能是一个人的现在分词是是是一个

Billy Carter claimed that the Libyans owed him \$6,500 to \$7,500 in cunreimbursed expenses for a reception he had held for them in Atlanta in January 1979. "He said he had paid for it out of pocket and had never gotten it back," Lisker said.

"Lasked him specifically if he had gotten any money. He lied because he didn't disclose that (\$20,000 payment). I think it is fairly obvious he 'misled me, he lied," Lisker said. "It is pretty clear now that Billy lied on two occasions. It calls into question the veracity of his entire registra-tion statement."

A During the June 11 interview, the president's brother did not admit Lisker, continued, "Actually, having received payments until there were four sources. I didn't Lisker indicated that he knew about

ter claimed the S20,000 payment helt tion had been received elsewhere had received from Libya on Dec. 27, in the department. The and substitute of the sub 1979, was partial reimbursement to lar. He said, "It is possible that the him for \$40,000 in expenses for the. White House had access to one January 1979 trip to Atlanta and it source." He refused to elaborate. other U.S. cities by the Libyans. Lisker also denied Billy Carter's

Billy Carter in June claimed that ... he had misestimated in January the ci-had tapped his phone and well as take amount owed by the Libyans for the 000 was reimbursement, Lisker and now closed, Lisker said that the said. "Then he later characterized in "lies" by the president's brother this \$20,000 as a floan.

-On his registration statement, had negotiated an undocumented loan from the Libyans for \$500,000 and that the \$220,000 was partial payment of it. A Double of the Spirit

Lisker also said he does not know whether the White House learned of listed them on a foreign agents ; registration statement he filed as the "We are trying to find out if Billy partment-civil-suit July-14-orwhether inside knowledge prompted Billy Carter on June 10 to set up an appointment for an interview the next day.

Asked, "Do you think anybody at

the White House told him (Billy Carter)?," Lisker replied, "No comment." Lisker added that he did not believe that anyone at the Justice. Department had informed the White House of the evidence about Dail town St. 30 % the payments.

Justice Department officials have said that they had "hints" and "in-klings" of payments as early as April of payments to Billy Carter but did not receive the "firm evidence" until May 30. Sources have told The Washington Star that information about Libyan payments to Billy Carter was first received in April, but that that tip was not cor-

Yesterday, Lisker told reporters in his office that he would not reveal the source of information about the payments. Then he added, "We're talking about three different sources. I'm not telling you whether they are live sources or technical sources." Actually,

learn about one of these sources: them: Then, Lisker said, Billy Car-ic until later, although the informa-

charge that the Justice Department.

. While the original investigation Atlanta reception. "He said the \$20,-13 of Billy Carter's foreign agentry is would force the Justice Department: to continue investigating whether Billy Carter claimed that in 1979 he as the July 14 registration statement is accurate. The spots to be a tractions

Lisker said that there are several; loose ends that need to be tied up, including verifying the values of the Libyan gifts listed by Billy Carter and checking out his claim that the payments before Billy Carter the president had given him CIA cables. Construction of the unit

part of a settlement of a Justice De- got this information (cables) and who gave it to him." Lisker said. "This could open a whole other avenue as to his contacts with the administration."

He added that Billy Carter's "lies" would not be prosecutable because making an "exculpatory false statement" to an FBI agent or investigator is not a crime. He explained that exculpatory means lying in order to avoid self-incrimination.

Lisker, who generally has been nunavailable for comment, made hisremarks on the record at the instruction of Assistant Attorney General Philip B. Heymann, chief of the Criminal Division, after two congressmen began disclosing the contents of department reports that that been sent Tuesday to the House Judiciary Committee,

Rep_Harold Sawyer, R-Mich., and Harold L: Volkmer, D-Mo., disclosed that Billy Carter claimed to have received cables from his brother.

Justice Department officials are reviewing the records of the case and expect to release most of them to the press within a few days, several department sources said ...

Billy Carter was not available for comment. His attorney , Stephen J. Pollak, declined to comment yesterday.